REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL Addendum # 1



Department Of Executive Services
Finance and Business Operations Division
Procurement and Contract Services Section
206-684-1681 TTY RELAY: 711

ADDENDUM DATE: November 12, 2004

RFP Title: TRANSIT RADIO SYSTEM

RFP Number: 04-003PR

Due Date/Time: December 23rd - 2:00 P.M.

Buyer: Paul Russell, paul.russell@metrokc.gov, 206-684-1054

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER					
Part	A, SECTION	<u> </u> A						
1.	Part A, Attachment A, Introduction, Item 4		DELETE: The County reserves the right to conduct a cost/price analysis and evaluation of the Proposal and/or an audit of the Contractor in order to determine if the prices are reasonable and in accordance with the terms of the Contract. The Proposer shall provide such cost and price					
			information as the County may request, including but not limited to providing the Cost Breakdown attached hereto as Part A Attachment within forty-eight (48) hours of the County's request.					
			REPLACE WITH:					
			The County reserves the right to conduct a cost/price analysis and evaluation of the Proposal and/or an audit of the Contractor in order to determine if the prices are reasonable and in accordance with the terms of the Contract. The Proposer shall provide such cost and price information as the County may request, including but not limited to providing the Cost Breakdown within forty-eight (48) hours of the County's request.					
Part	C, SECTION	1 Introduction						
2.	Section 1.3.C.	The RFP states that the	CLARIFICATION:					
	Structure of Scope of Work	Proposer will provide TRS pricing for the Baseline as well as alternatives. If the proposer wishes to propose an alternative solution that meets coverage requirements but does not include the exact 14	No. Provide a single solution for the Baseline TRS. The Baseline requirement is ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage. Pricing should reflect Proposer's proposed solution to meet baseline ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage.					

This Invitation to Bid Addendum will be provided in alternative formats such as Braille, large print, audiocassette or computer disk for individuals with disabilities upon request.

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER							
		sites outlined in the conceptual design in Table 1-1, is pricing still required for the conceptual 14-site design as a baseline?	Note: In the RFP phrase "as well as alternatives to" refers to the list of potential additions, deductions and alternate tunnel radio designs.							
3.	Section 1.5.2.1.B.	The RFP indicates that	CLARIFICATION:							
	ACCESS Mobile Voice and Data Systems–Addition A	ACCESS unit coverage extends beyond King County into Pierce, Snohomish, and Washington counties. Is this extended coverage area included within the overall Metro Service Area operations boundary illustrated in Appendix A?	Yes. Both fixed route and ACCESS coverage is illustrated as Metro Service Area in Appendix A, Metro Service Area, which is the required coverage area, is defined within the green boundary. Radio coverage for ACCESS is generally required within King County. Note: there is no county called "Washington". DELETE:							
			Section 1.5.2.1.B.							
			The TRS will provide radio coverage for ACCESS vehicles throughout King County, and extending into Pierce County and Snohomish County, Washington. ACCESS has approximately 300 vehicles.							
			REPLACE WITH:							
			Section 1.5.2.1.B.							
			The TRS will provide radio coverage for ACCESS vehicles throughout King County. ACCESS has approximately 300 vehicles.							
Part	C, SECTION	3 Baseline Land Mobile Radio S	System							
4.	Section 3.2.1.3.A.	The price for providing a fix to	CLARIFICATION:							
	Radio Interference Studies	an interference problem can only be determined post award after the proposed equipment is installed. It is recommended that the following passage be removed "and provide the proper equipment to protect the site from interference. The associated price for this protection shall be part of the proposal price."	KCM is not removing this requirement. KCM believes that it is reasonable for Proposers to conduct an intermodulation study for each site in their proposed design using the frequencies provided in Table 3-1 for the TRS, and for other frequencies licensed at the same location, based on the FCC database. The Proposers interference mitigation shall be included as part of the proposal price.							
5.	Section 3.3.	Since the Region 43 700 MHz	CLARIFICATION:							
	Conceptual System Design	Regional Planning Document has yet to be submitted and approved by the FCC, and since the 9 frequencies identifyied in Table 3-1 have preliminary approval by Region 43, and since there are no approved	TRS design must comply with FCC Part 90 for							

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER
		Region 43 700 MHz Design Guidelines to follow with respect to site ERP limits and adjacent and co-channel interference contours, and since the 14 site Conceptual Design must have followed some guidelines with respect to ERP levels in order to arrive at 95% mobile coverage, please identify to all proposers the design guidelines and/or assumptions and/or ERP levels to use at each of the 14 Conceptual Design sites.	and frequency 764MHz for all sites. Macro also used the site coordinates, site elevation and transmit antenna height above ground level information listed in the Appendix F, Master Site List.
6.	Section 3.3.1. Repeater Sites and Channel Capacity	The paragraph indicates that 13 system-wide voice paths are planned, but the Table 3-2 outlines 9, 11, or 13 voice paths at various sites. This appears to be a discrepancy. Nevertheless, with only 9 frequencies to be licensed, there doesn't appear to be enough frequencies available to provide the number of voice paths required for a simulcast or TDMA solution. Please clarify.	CLARIFICATION: Table 3-1 lists the nine 700 MHz frequencies that Metro has received preliminary approval for the TRS from Region 43 Planning Committee. These are 25 KHz frequencies. Current FCC regulations on 700 MHz allows each voice path to occupy 12.5 KHz of bandwidth or less. So, at a minimum, the nine frequencies can provide 18 voice paths.
7.	Section 3.4.1.B. System Design	Are proposers required to provide a baseline design using the exact 14-sites conceptual design outlined in the Table 1-1 for coverage, traffic throughput, and price comparison purposes?	CLARIFICATION: The Baseline system requirement is ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage. As long as the Proposer's baseline system can achieve ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage it does not have to use all 14 sites listed in the conceptual design. Per the RFP the Proposer may choose other sites, from the list supplied in the RFP, but if sites other than the 14 main sites are part of your Proposal, the County may modify your price based on site costs associated whit those sites. DELETE: Section 3.4.1.B Proposers are required to submit, as a baseline system, a proposal based on the ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage conceptual design described in the Specification. REPLACE WITH: Section 3.4.1.B Proposers are required to submit, as a baseline

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER						
			system, a proposal based on the ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage design described in this Specification.)						
8.	Section 3.3.1.1.C.	We would like to perform traffic	CLARIFICATION:						
	Channel Distribution Assumptions	analysis for various design alternatives and confirm the traffic capacity for the	Radio traffic data provided in <u>Attachment 1</u> is a 30-day traffic log for the current radio system.						
	Assumptions	conceptual design. The traffic analysis tool that will be used	Radio channels 1, 2, 3 & 4 are bus voice channels.						
		will compute the performance of the network under voice and/or	Radio channels 5 & 6 are bus data channels, which are in continuous use.						
		data load. For data applications, could King County provide	Radio channels 7 & 8 are Operations supervisory channels.						
		bytes per user, the length per call, and type of call for any data application that may be required for the 700 MHz trunking network?	Radio channels M1 & M2 are maintenance channels.						
9.	Section 3.4.2.C.2.	Section 3.4.2.C.2 states that	CLARIFICATION:						
	System Performance Requirements	59% portable coverage is required. However section 11.4.5.6.J.2.c specifies a 65%	The TRS outdoor portable coverage requirement is fifty-nine percent (59%). DELETE:						
		portable coverage acceptance test requirement. Since accep-	Section 11.4.5.6.J.2.c						
		tance testing should agree with coverage goals, please clarify what portable outdoor coverage is required.	In order to pass the portable coverage test, at least sixty-five percent (65%) of the test locations must provide a Delivered Audio Quality (DAQ) rating of 3.4 or better for voice communications.						
			REPLACE WITH:						
			Section 11.4.5.6.J.2.c						
			In order to pass the portable coverage test, at least fifty-nine percent (59%) of the test locations must provide a Delivered Audio Quality (DAQ) rating of 3.4 or better for voice communications.						
10.	Section 3.4.2.C.3.	The coverage percentage is	CLARIFICATION:						
	System Performance Requirements	based on the talkout (base-to-mobile) signal to a vehicle-mounted mobile radio with a 3 dB gain antenna. Section 4.7 specifies a 0 dB gain antenna for revenue vehicles, and 3 dB gain antenna for non-revenue vehicles. This would imply that the non-revenue vehicles are the more important scenario to be used in the coverage analysis. If this is true, should	The coverage requirement is for all mobile units including revenue vehicles and non-revenue vehicles. Proposers should use the worst-case scenario for mobile coverage design.						

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER						
		we assume standard passenger cars with a 3 dB gain roof-mounted antenna mounted at a 5-foot height? Or should we assume revenue vehicles (such as buses) using a 0 dB gain roof-mounted antenna mounted at a 10-foot height for coverage analysis?							
11.	Section 3.4.3.6. The OBS/CCS Interface	Since details of the VLU-Mobile Radio interface are not known at this time and won't be defined until after contract award in conjunction with the OBS/CCS contractor, assumptions regarding this interface may need to be made in order to design the TRS for the proposal. Is this the approach that KCM is expecting from TRS bidders? If not, does KCM have a recom-mended approach that TRS bidders should use to deal with the lack of definition of the VLU-Mobile Radio interface?	CLARIFICATION: Yes, it is correct that Metro expects that the TRS Contractor will coordinate with the OBS/CCS Contractor for the VLU/mobile radio interface design. It will be necessary for TRS Proposers to make some assumptions regarding this interface, until more specific information is available from a selected OBS/CCS contractor. The clearest Proposals will list interface assumptions as part of the RFP response.						
12.	Section 3.4.3.6. The OBS/CCS Interface	Does the phrase "possible interface functionality" in the last sentence from Section 3.4.3.6 imply that the list in the subsequent section (3.4.3.6.1) are recommendations and not requirements of the Mobile Radio-OBS Interface?	CLARIFICATION: The Technical Interface Committee (TIC) will determine final interface requirements. The list in Section 3.4.3.6.1 is the basic functionality that has been identified as system requirements.						
Part	C, SECTION	9 Baseline Digital Loop Microwa	ave System Requirements						
13.	Section 9.2. Existing System	It is clearly stated in this section that no part of the existing Metro microwave system will be used. However, what about the existing LAN system? Can the existing Metro LAN/WAN infrastructure be used in conjunction with the new 700MHz Transit Radio System?	The current radio system does not use the King County WAN (KCWAN). The KCWAN or King County Fiber Network (I-Net) may be proposed for the TRS; however, there are installation and monthly recurring costs associated with both of these networks that need to be included in the total proposed price. The proposal evaluation						
14.	Section 9.7.3.B.	In this paragraph and in other	CLARIFICATION:						

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER
	Microwave Path Design	sections, the need for a "SONET, 30 MHz, 155 Mb/s (3 DS3) capacity, loop microwave system" with a reliability requirement of "99.9999% at BER=10-3" is specified. These parameters can probably be provided by a non-standby loop microwave system of the type previously quoted. Section 9.8.7.A requires "hot-standby radios". This seems somewhat contradictory. Is the requirement for a hot-standby loop system, or for a loop system of whatever kind (hot-standby or non-standby) that meets the technical requirements stated in this paragraph?	A loop microwave system that meets 99.9999% at BER 10 ⁻³ reliability will satisfy the Section 9.8.7.A, Protection and Recovery requirement. DELETE: Section 9.8.7.A, Protection and Recovery Hot-standby shall be provided for all major radio components. REPLACE WITH: Section 9.8.7.A, Protection and Recovery The system shall be configured to provide loop protection.
15.	Section 9.8.4. Unfaded Bit Error Rate	An unfaded BER measurement of 10 ⁻¹³ is certainly measurable, but it would take 75 days per hop or per DS1 circuit to complete the measurement to the 10 ⁻¹³ level. That's a long time to assume that fading will not play a factor in the measurement and it also increases testing costs considerably. Would a BER test to 10 ⁻¹¹ rate, which can be performed during an 18 hour period, make more sense?	CLARIFICATION: The requirement for this sub-section has been revised as follows: DELETE: Section 9.8.4, Unfaded Bit Error Rate In the absence of fading, the BER will not be greater than 10 ⁻¹³ . REPLACE WITH: Section 9.8.4, Unfaded Bit Error Rate In the absence of fading, the BER will not be greater than 10 ⁻¹² . This objective, short-term test shall be performed on each path in the system at a DS1 level.
16.	Section 9.8.10.1. Transmitter Output Power	A minimum transmitter power output of +25.5 DBm is specified. However, FCC EIRP rules govern the amount of power to be transmitted. For short hops, the EIRP levels may be exceeded using a +25.5 dBm transmitter power output. The EIRP can be reduced by using attenuators on the path, however a lower transmitter power outputs provide a cleaner solution. Would it make more sense to restate this	CLARIFICATION: The requirement for this sub-section has been revised as follows: DELETE: Section 9.8.10.1, Transmitter Output Power The minimum transmitter output power referenced to the antenna port is +25.5 dBm. REPLACE WITH: Section 9.8.10.1, Transmitter Output Power The minimum transmitter output power referenced to the antenna port shall be as defined on the manufactures equipment specifications as it

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER							
		requirement to avoid the use of attenuators to comply with FCC rules?	pertains to the selected terminal utilized for the design of the path/system.							
Part	C, SECTION	11 Baseline Installation and Tes	sting							
17.	11.4.5.7.A.2.	The requirement for failure of	CLARIFICATION:							
	Voice System Coverage Test	more than one-half of the test measurements in any compact two square mile or larger area within the defined service area could very likely require more	Test grid size has been revised to 0.25 mile square. DELETE: Section 11.4.5.7.A.1, Voice System Coverage							
		sites and therefore a higher	Test Failure							
		price to guarantee coverage, especially for the 59% portable coverage requirement. It is very likely that the 41% of non-	Failure to score at least DAQ 3.4 in ninety-five percent (95%) or more of the points tested (both in the service area and within the specific location). REPLACE WITH:							
		covered area will include 2 square mile area. It is recommended that this requirement	Section 11.4.5.7.A.1, Voice System Coverage Test Failure							
		be removed.	Failure to score at least DAQ 3.4 in ninety-five percent (95%) or more for mobile coverage and fifty-nine percent (59%) or more for portable coverage of the points tested (both in the service area and within the specific location).							
			DELETE:							
			Section 11.4.5.7.A.2, Voice System Coverage Test Failure							
			Failure of more than one-half of the test measurements in any compact two square mile or larger area within the defined service area.							
			REPLACE WITH:							
			Section 11.4.5.7.A.2, Voice System Coverage Test Failure							
			Failure of more than 5.0% of the test measurements for mobile coverage based upon 0.25-mile square grids within the service area.							
Part	C, GENERAL									
18.		Will the County accept a	CLARIFICATION:							
		communications solution that employs separate mobile radios for voice communications and data communications.	A two-radio solution is acceptable as long as it meets electrical and physical space requirements.							
Part	C, APPENDIC	CES								
19.	Appendix A.	The King County Metro Service	CLARIFICATION:							
	Metro Service Area	Area map shows 3 smaller boundaries outlined in green	For calculating the ninety-five percent (95%) mobile coverage requirement, the two southern-							

Q#	Subsection	QUESTION	ANSWER
		located inside the overall service boundary. Are these areas to be excluded from coverage analysis (exclusion zones)?	most green outlined areas and northern-most route extension into Snohomish County can be excluded.
20.	Appendix F.		CLARIFICATION:
	Master Site List		There are two Federal Way sites on the Master Site List, "Federal Way #1" and "Federal Way." "Federal Way #1" was incorrectly listed as Site # 8. The change to Appendix F reflects the correct Site # 8, Federal Way. DELETE: Appendix F, Master Site List
			REPLACE WITH:
			Attachment 2, Appendix F (Revised), Master Site List:
21.	Appendix G.	A total of 2871 subscriber units	CLARIFICATION:
	Subscriber Matrix	are forecasted for the next 9 years over two phases. The initial phase (through year 2007) totals 2598 mobile, portable, and control station units combined, with the remainder for phase 2 and spares. Please clarify what set of quantities should be used on price sheets for pricing the base bid.	For the RFP response, Proposers should use Phase I figures including spares.

ATTACHMENT 1: Radio traffic data.

Channel 1

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach_1_CH1.xls

Channel 2

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach_1_CH2.xls

Channel 3

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach 1 CH3.xls

Channels 4-5

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach_1_CH4-5.xls

Channel 7

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach 1 CH7.xls

Channels 8-9

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach 1 CH8-9.xls

Channels Other

http://www.metrokc.gov/finance/procurement/rfpdocs/2004/October/GoodsAndServices/04-003/Attach 1 CH-Other.xls

Attachment 2

APPENDIX F - MASTER SITE LIST (Revised - Addendum 1)

CONFIDENTIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

CONCEPTUA L SYSTEM DESIGN SITE #	SITE CODE	SITE NAME	LATITUDE (NAD 83)	LONGITUD E (NAD 83)	GROUN D ELEV (FEET)	GROUND ELEV (Meters)	Actual Antenna Structure (feet)	Available Space for RF Antennas (feet)	Available Space for MW Antennas (feet)	Support t Struct. Height (meters	t Struct.	HEIGHT ABOVE AVERAGE TERRAIN (meters)	Max. ERP (watts) Per FCC	HEIGHT ABOVE AVERAGE TERRAIN (meters)*	Max. ERP (watts) Per FCC	ERP (dBmW)		a AGI
						3.2808						Various Ant Hts.	Various Ant Hts.	30 m Ant Hts	30 m Ant Hts			
		KING COUNTY/VALLEY COM	SITES															
	KCV01	Cambridge	47-21-49.4	122-17-25.4	446	135.9				5 5	180	123	1000	98	1000	60.00	55	180
	KCV03	Federal Way #1	47-16-42.4	122-17-28.4	429	130.8	SS-250	160-200	160-200	55	180	110	1000	85	1000	60.00	55	180
Site 2	KCV04	Rattlesnake	47-28-09.4	121-49-17.4	3228	983.9				55	180	697	200	672	200	53.01	55	180
Site 3	KCV05	Ring Hill	47-45-12.4	122-02-01.4	558	170.1	Guy-300	140-below (see MW)	160-300-limited	55	180	127	1000	102	1000	60.00	55	180
Site 4	KCV06	Skyway	47-29-18.4	122-14-28.4	464	141.4	MP-90	60	60	55	180	127	1000	102	1000	60.00	55	180
Site 5	KCV07	Squak	47-30-15.4	122-02-50.4	2015	614.2	SS-160 (heavily loaded)	100	100	55	180	478	350	453	600	57.78	55	180
	KCV08	View Park	47-28-49.4	122-32-02.5	410	125.0	300-Guy-All levels	300-Guy-All levels	300-Guy-All levels	55	180	135	1000	110	1000	60.00	55	180
	KCV09	King County Courthouse	47-36-08.4	122-19-48.5	82	25.0				15	49	3	1000	18	1000	60.00		
		McDonald	47-20-11.4	121-51-30.4	3288	1002.2				55	180	568	350	543	350	55.44	55	180
	KCV11		47-12-14.4	121-47-42.4	4280	1304.6				55	180	694	200	669	200	53.01	55	180
	KCV12	Snoqualmie Pass (Dodge Ridge)	47-25-13.4	121-25-39.3	3761	1146.4				55	180	56	1000	31	1000	60.00	55	180
	KCV13	Sobieski	47-40-52.4	121-19-42.4	4402	1341.7				55	180	396	600	371	600	57.78	55	180
	KCV14	Regional Justice Center	47-23-12.5	122-14-15.4	36	11.0				15	49	-57	1000	-42	1000	60.00		
	KCV15	Gold Mt.	47-32-54.3	122-47-11.5	1758	535.8	SS-160	140-160	140-160	55	180	495	350	470	350	55.44	55	180
	KCV17	Tiger Mt. Location 1	47-30-16.3	121-58-20.4	2998	913.8	SS-140 (limited space)	100-120	100-120	55	180	772	140	747	140	51.46	55	180
	·	Tiger Mt. Location 2	22 .3.0				SS-90	50-70	50-70			-						
	KCV18	High Point Metro RCVR 1 Site	47-32-22.4	122-22-39.4	504	153.6	MP-140	No Space	100-120	55	180	-1	1000	-26	1000	60.00	55	180
Site 7	KCV19	Roosevelt - Metro RCVR 2 Site	47-41-29.4	122-19-04.5	446	135.94245	MP-	90	90	55	180	143	1000	118	1000	60	55	180

			1	1		1				1			1					1
						3												
Site 8	KCV20	Federal Way Metro RCVR 3 Site	47-19- 46.08	122-15- 56.14	521	158.8	H2OTank- 80	80	80	55	180	144	1000	119	1000	60.00	55	180
		SNOHOMISH COUNTY SITES	3													,		,
Site 1	SC01	Mountlake Terrace	47-47-53	122-18-45.0	507	142.8	180	TX 140 / RX 180	95	55	180	158	1000	133	1000	60.00	55	180
Site 10	SC02	Nike	47-47-36.0	122-14-20.0	495	152.0	180	TX 140 / RX 160	120	55	180	128	1000	103	1000	60.00	55	180
		SEATTLE SITES																
	SEA01	West Seattle	47-32-25.4	122-22-40.5	485	147.8				55	180	172	1000	147	1000	60.00	55	180
	SEA02	Columbia Center (KCV16)	47-36-18.4	122-19-49.5	173	52.7	941	941	941	15	50	308	600	308	600	57.78		1
	SEA03	Northeast	47-41-29.4	122-19-04.5	446	135.9				55	180	143	1000	118	1000	60.00	55	180
						125.57912												
Site 12	SEA04	Capitol Hill (EPS02)	47-36-56.4	122-18-31.5	412	7	SS-350	260	260	55	180	143	1000	118	1000	60	55	180
	CE A OF	Annia Caus	47 40 05 0	100 00 00 5	250	407.0	CC4.40	(70-80) (120-	(70-80) (120-		400	400	1000	400	4000	CO 00		400
	SEAUS	Apple Cove	47-48-25.3	122-29-39.5	352	107.3	SS140	130)	130)	55	180]	133	1000	108	1000	60.00	55	180]
	SEA06	Metro Tunnel North (West Lake)	47-36-41.4	122-20-12.5	118	36.0	No structure			30	100	28	1000	28	1000	60.00		
		,					No				-					•		
	SEA07	Metro Tunnel South (Pioneer)	47-36-09.4	122-19-52.5	77	23.5	structure			30	100	17	1000	17	1000	60.00		
Site 6	SEA08	Top Hat	47-30-13	122-20-13	461	140	SS-170	100-170	100-170	55	180	154	1000	99	1000	60	55	180
Site 13	SEA09	Queen Anne High School	47-37-56.0	122-21-12.0	391	139.3	75	75	75									
		EPSCA SITES												,		1		
						351.74347												
Site 9		Horizon		122-07-55.4	1154	074.5	SS-60	20-40	20-40	55	180	292	1000	267	1000	60	55	180
		West Tiger		121-58-21.4	2869	874.5		100	100	55	180	702	200	677	200	53.01	55	180
	EPS04	Capitol Hill	47-36-56.4	122-18-31.5	412	125.6	LICOT	180	180	55	180	143	1000	118	1000	60.00	55	180
	EPS05	North Seattle	47-45-35.4	122-18-42.5	492	150.0	H2OTank- 90	90	90	55	180	139	1000	114	1000	60.00	55	180
							H2OTank-											
Site 11	EPS06	Education Hill	47-41-33.4	122-06-49.4	404	7	72	Top -20-40	Top -20-40	55	180	92	1000	67	1000	60	55	180
	ED907	Crossroads	47 27 00 0	122 47 31.9			H2OTank- 80	80	80									
	L1 007	NEW SITES	47 37 00.0	122 47 01.0			00			1								
Site 14	NEWOS		47 22 45 0	122-24-53.6	460	140	MP-35	35	35									
Sile 14	INEVVUZ	Maury Island	47-22-45.9	122-24-03.0	400	140	IVIP-30	30	30									
		DISPATCH CENTERS Control (Atlantic Rose, Matro	47.05.00	400.40						J								
		Central/Atlantic Base - Metro Transit	47-35-32- 52	122-19- 33.49	30	9.1	Building-30	30	30									
		Exchange Building - ACCESS	47-36-	122-20-	73	22.3	Building-	120	120									
			77 00	122 20	-		Danding											

	14.43	02.98			120										
METRO TRANSIT BACKUP															
North Base	47-44-48.4	122-19-54.5	372	113.4	No structure	Available Space for Twr.	55	180	123	1000	98	1000	60.00	55	180
REMOTE DISPATCH															
 East Base							_								
South Base															
Central Atlantic Base International Station Power Control	47-35-32- 52	122-19- 33.49	30	9.1											